

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/432,112	11/02/1999		TAKASHI TSUDA	837.1212/JDH	837.1212/JDH 9637	
21171	7590	01/08/2002				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP EXAMINER				NER		
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	-		JUBA JR, JOHN			
WASHINGT	JN, DC	20001		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2872		
				DATE MAILED: 01/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. *	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/432,112	TSUDA ET AL.					
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Juba	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 December 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: There was no express instruction for the	Office to cancel claims 10, 11, 18,	<u>and 19</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>59-62</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	MXM	M_{\sim}					
Claim(s) allowed: 1-8,15,16,21-38,42-47,49-52 and 5	<u>i4-58</u> .	V V					
Claim(s) objected to: 10,11,18 and 19.	ANORIA IOCHA	dra Spyrou Patent Examiner					
Claim(s) rejected: 20, 39-41, 48, and 53.	Technology	Center 2800					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		-550					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:							

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 25, 28, 33, and 54 under 102(b) as anticipated by Delavaux, et al., as well as the rejection of claims 26, 27, 34, and 35 under § 103(a) as being obvious over Delavaux, et al in view of Ishikawa, et al.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner sees no distinction between the "pre" and "post-" amplifiers of Delavaux, et al and the "front stage" and "rear stage" amplifiers recited in claim 53 and 48. Claims 48 and 53
anticipated by Ishikawa, et al, who clearly teach (Fig. 39) how to connect the compensating unit in a repeater. The "transmitter" of
Matsuda, et al (Electron. Lett.) "corresponds to" one end of the DSF by way of the AOM so as to anticipate claims 20, and 39 - 41.